

1 DANIELLE L. OCHS, CA Bar No. 178677

[danielle.ochs@ogletreedeakins.com](mailto:danielle.ochs@ogletreedeakins.com)

2 BECKI D. GRAHAM, CA Bar No. 238010

[becki.graham@ogletreedeakins.com](mailto:becki.graham@ogletreedeakins.com)

3 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

Steuart Tower, Suite 1300

4 One Market Plaza

San Francisco, CA 94105

5 Telephone: 415.442.4810

Facsimile: 415.442.4870

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff

7 TOPPAN PHOTOMASKS, INC.

8 MARC N. BERNSTEIN, CA Bar No. 145837

[mbernstein@blgrp.com](mailto:mbernstein@blgrp.com)

9 WILL B. FITTON, CA Bar No. 182818

[wfitton@blgrp.com](mailto:wfitton@blgrp.com)

10 THE BERNSTEIN LAW GROUP. P.C.

11 555 Montgomery St., Suite 1650

San Francisco, CA 94111

12 Telephone: 415.765.6633

Facsimile: 415.283.4804

13 Attorneys for Defendant

14 KEUN TAEK PARK

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17  
18 TOPPAN PHOTOMASKS, INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 KEUN TAEK PARK, an individual,

22 Defendant.

Case No. 3:13-cv-03323-MMC

**STIPULATED PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

Complaint Filed: July 15, 2013

Trial Date:

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

**STIPULATED PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

WHEREAS, on July 17, 2013, Plaintiff Toppan Photomasks, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "TPI") filed the above-captioned action against Defendant Keun Taek Park ("Defendant" or "Park") (collectively, the "Parties") for alleged violation of the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act based on his alleged acquisition of TPI's trade secrets, and breach of contract in connection with his alleged breach of multiple confidentiality agreements between the Parties.

WHEREAS, on July 19, 2013, the Court issued a temporary restraining order and order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue ("OSC") against Park on the grounds that TPI had demonstrated a substantial likelihood of success on the merits of its claims against Park. The Court further found that Plaintiff has demonstrated that, without an order from this Court, TPI will suffer irreparable harm, that the balance of hardships strongly favors TPI, and that issuance of a temporary restraining order and OSC were in the public interest.

WHEREAS, the Court set an OSC hearing for August 1, 2013, which the Court subsequently continued to August 30, 2013, upon stipulation of the Parties.

WHEREAS, the Parties now wish to stipulate and agree to the issuance of a preliminary injunction, as set forth herein, in lieu of the August 30, 2013 OSC hearing (and agree to request that the Court so order).

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The Parties agree that during the pendency of this action or until the Court otherwise orders, Defendant (and anyone acting on his behalf who receives actual notice of this Stipulation and Proposed Order) is hereby preliminarily restrained and enjoined as follows:

- a. Defendant is enjoined from accessing TPI's computer systems or networks;
- b. Defendant is enjoined from possessing, using or disclosing any of TPI's trade secrets related to its plasma creation and dry etching processes including but not limited to the information contained in the Excel file entitled "work\_daily tracking.xlsx" and the Word file entitled "work\_daily.doc", both of which were attached to an email dated November 29, 2012 sent from [KT.Park@photomasks.com](mailto:KT.Park@photomasks.com) to [parkindresden@yahoo.com](mailto:parkindresden@yahoo.com) with a subject line entitled "files" (hereinafter "Trade Secrets"); and

1 c. Defendant is required to account for (through expedited discovery) and  
2 return to TPI any and all of TPI's property, including its Trade Secrets obtained by Defendant from  
3 TPI;

4 2. No security is required in this matter. There is no realistic likelihood of harm to  
5 Defendant from enjoining his conduct as set forth above.

6 3. The Parties shall abide by the confidentiality agreement and stipulated protective  
7 order filed in this case governing the use and disclosure of confidential information in connection  
8 with this litigation.

9 4. The August 30, 2013, OSC hearing set in this matter is vacated.

10  
11 DATED: August 21, 2013

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &  
STEWART, P.C.

12  
13  
14 By: /s/ Becki D. Graham  
15 DANIELLE L. OCHS  
16 BECKI D. GRAHAM  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
TOPPAN PHOTOMASKS, INC.

17  
18 DATED: August 21, 2013

THE BERNSTEIN LAW GROUP, P.C.

19  
20 By: /s/ Marc N. Bernstein  
21 MARC N. BERNSTEIN  
22 WILL B. FITTON  
Attorneys for Defendant  
23 KEUN TAEK PARK  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING**

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Marc N. Bernstein.

Dated: August 21, 2013

/s/ Becki D. Graham

DANIELLE L. OCHS


BECKI D. GRAHAM

Attorneys for Plaintiff

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 21, 2013

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15733936.3